

JOE AIELLO  
SANGAMON COUNTY CLERK



SANGAMON COUNTY COMPLEX  
200 SOUTH NINTH STREET - ROOM 101  
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62701  
TELEPHONE: 217-753-6700/FACSIMILE: 217-535-3233  
WEBSITE: [www.co.sangamon.il.us](http://www.co.sangamon.il.us)

## MINUTES

### SANGAMON COUNTY BOARD

DECEMBER 8, 2009

The Sangamon County Board met in Reconvened Adjourned September Session on December 8, 2009 in the County Board Chambers. Chairman VanMeter called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m. Mr. Smith gave the Invocation and asked for a moment of silence in remembrance of County employee Matt Dennison who recently passed away. Jesse Schmillen, son of Tony and Susan Schmillen, student at Williamsville High School, football player, track player, and recently congregated Eagle Scout, led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

## ROLL CALL

Chairman VanMeter asked the Clerk to call the roll. There were 26 Present – 3 Absent. Mr. Hall, Mr. O’Neill, and Mrs. Turner were excused.

Mrs. Long and Mr. Bunch thanked the County Board staff, and presented Christmas gifts from the County Board to Lou Robisch, Melanie Dennison, and Brian McFadden.

## MINUTES

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Bunch, for approval of the minutes of November 10, 2009. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED  
MINUTES ADOPTED

## **CORRESPONDENCE**

A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mrs. Long, to place the correspondence on file with the County Clerk. A voice vote was unanimous. There was no correspondence to file.

### **RESOLUTION 1**

1. Resolution approving the low bids for aggregate for the annual maintenance of County highways.

A motion was made by Mr. Montalbano, seconded by Mr. Stephens, to place Resolution 1 on the floor. Chairman VanMeter asked for a roll call vote on the adoption of Resolution 1. Upon the roll call vote, there were 25 Yeas – 0 Nays.

MOTION CARRIED  
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Bunch, to proceed out of the regular order of business to accommodate members of the media and the audience, and consider Resolution 22 as the next order of business. There were no objections.

### **RESOLUTION 22**

22. Resolution approving the Stakeholder Agreement and Intergovernmental Agreement between Sangamon County, the City of Springfield, and the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Chairman VanMeter explained that this resolution authorizes him to enter into an agreement with the City of Springfield and the Illinois Department of Transportation to bring a resolution to the conversations they have had about the location of the high speed rail in this community if they are fortunate enough to receive funding for that project. Chairman VanMeter asked for a roll call vote on the adoption of Resolution 22. Upon the roll call vote, there were 25 Yeas – 0 Nays.

MOTION CARRIED  
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

### **RESOLUTIONS 2 – 5**

2. Resolution appropriating motor fuel tax funds for IMRF expenses.

A motion was made by Mr. Montalbano, seconded by Mr. Fraase, to place Resolution 2 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. Preckwinkle, to consolidate Resolutions 2- 5. Chairman VanMeter asked the Clerk to read Resolutions 3 – 5.

3. Resolution appropriating motor fuel tax funds for the County Engineer's salary and expenses.
4. Resolution approving an agreement between Sangamon County and the Illinois Department of Transportation to transfer surface transportation program funds for State funds.
5. An ordinance extending the date for the Class III posting of County highways for harvest.

A voice vote was unanimous on the consolidation. A motion was made by Mr. Goleman, seconded by Mr. Mendenhall, that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolutions 2 – 5, as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

**MOTIONS CARRIED**  
**RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED**

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Moore, to change the regular order of the resolutions to consider Resolutions 8, 9, 10, 6 and then 7 to accommodate people in the audience. A voice vote was unanimous.

**RESOLUTION 8**

8. 2009-60 – Terrence & Sandra Farmer, 4133 Old Jacksonville Rd., Springfield – Granting a Variance. County Board Member – Sarah Musgrave, District #9.

A motion was made by Mr. Forsyth, seconded by Mrs. Musgrave, to place Resolution 8 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Moore to waive the reading of the professional staff's report. There were no objections. A voice vote was unanimous for the adoption of Resolution 8.

**MOTIONS CARRIED**  
**RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

**RESOLUTION 9**

9. 2009-61 – Kaye Barrett, 12001 Ackerman Road, Virden – Granting a Variance. County Board Member – Craig Hall, District #7.

A motion was made by Mr. Snell, seconded by Mr. Stephens, to place Resolution 9 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Moore to waive the reading of the professional staff's report. There were no objections. A voice vote was unanimous for the adoption of Resolution 9.

MOTIONS CARRIED  
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

**RESOLUTION 10**

10. 2009-62 – John & Kathy Iler, 8652 and 8720 Iler Road, Sherman – Granting Variances. County Board Member – Todd Smith, District #2.

A motion was made by Mr. Smith, seconded by Mr. Good, to place Resolution 10 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Moore to waive the reading of the professional staff's report. There were no objections. A voice vote was unanimous for the adoption of Resolution 10.

MOTIONS CARRIED  
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

**RESOLUTION 6**

6. 2009-56 – Rolling Meadows, L.P., 3954 Central Point Rd., Cantrall – Denying a Rezoning and Granting a Use Variance. County Board Member – Mike Sullivan, District #11.

A motion was made by Mr. Sullivan, seconded by Mrs. Fulgenzi, to place Resolution 6 on the floor. Chairman VanMeter asked the professional staff to give the procedural history of the case.

Molly Sims, professional staff, stated that the petitioners are requesting a rezoning from "A" agricultural district to "I-1" restricted industrial district to allow for a small microbrewery producing beer using local farm products. The professional staff recommends denial of the requested "I-1" zoning because "I-1" zoning uses, if granted, would run with the property forever, and this is not seen as appropriate at this location. The petitioner wishes to operate a microbrewery and states they will use locally grown grain as well as contribute the byproduct to local pig farming operators. This is a benefit to the community, and the staff recommends a use variance to allow for the requested microbrewery at this location. The Zoning Board of Appeals concurs with the staff report to recommend denial of the "I-1" zoning, but in the alternative, recommends to grant a use variance providing the microbrewery system does not exceed a seven barrel system. This recommendation was approved by a 4 to 1 vote.

Stephen Scott, attorney for the petitioner, addressed the board. He gave his address as 8 Island Bay Lane. This property is owned by Dr. Edward Trudeau and Karen Trudeau. Karen Trudeau was also present and gave her address as 1660 Leland Avenue.

Mr. Scott stated that this petition is to allow a microbrewery just north of the Sangamon River. The microbrewery would be located on a 700-acre farm, and would use local products, hops and grains in accommodation with water to produce the beer. This is entirely a wholesale operation and is not a brew pub. There has been some information circulating that it would be a brew pub, but it absolutely is not. There will be absolutely no retail sales at this location.

The by-products of this process are spent grain, which is actually human edible, but is fed to the livestock currently on the farm including goats and llamas. There have been questions raised about some type of retail sales because someone was circulating a flier issued at the farmer's market this fall at the fairgrounds.

It was the intention to grow the products for this microbrewery using organic processes. At the bottom of the flier where they describe all their organic processes, it says they will have beer to the public in glass bottles and kegs. He pointed out that is not through wholesale delivery by the Trudeau's, but through local taverns and restaurants selling the products. The petitioner only has a license for wholesale and has no intention to go beyond that.

Karen Trudeau explained that they are just trying to provide a local beer to be purchased by citizens and guests of Springfield who have requested it. They have heard from several local providers such as Maldaner's who would like to provide a local beer to their patrons.

Mr. Moore asked the professional staff why they would have requested "I" zoning when what they are asking for could fit within the parameters of agricultural zoning. Molly Sims explained that microbreweries are not directly addressed as permitted uses in any zoning area in the County Code. The closest thing to it was an "I-1" restricted industrial district. The permitted uses are used to address any establishment engaged in production, processing, cleaning, servicing, testing, repair or storage of materials, goods or products, provided operations conform with the performance standards and other general requirements applicable to this district set forth in Chapter 17.34. That particular chapter deals with hazardous wastes and odors and performance standards. This facility will be engaged in the production of a product, the industrial "I-1" category was the appropriate way for them to apply for zoning relief.

Mr. Moore asked Mr. Scott to tell them what other permit processes they have gone through with respect to environmental issues such as compost materials. Mr. Scott explained that this is the first step in the process other than obtaining a license for a wholesale brewery. Some people showed up at the Zoning Board of Appeals hearing to complain about truck traffic because there was a conversion going on about the organic farming. That was not related to the microbrewery. The organic farming is going on because of the Trudeau's commitment to healthy farming. Their farmer died one and a half year's ago, and they have known other people who died of cancer. They have wanted to convert as much as they can to organic farming. They would also grow corn and anything else you would grow on a farm. The truck traffic for the farming would

only occur one time per year. They have talked to the farmers such as the Jeffrey's, who are most concerned about this. The Jeffrey's agreed that not having the spray from chemicals on the farm and only using natural products would be healthier for them. They reside the closest to this farmland.

Mr. Moore asked Karen Trudeau if they have any intent to have any retail sales on this property. Mrs. Trudeau stated that they do not. Mr. Moore asked if they own any other property in this area. Mrs. Trudeau stated that they do own about 900 acres in this area. Mr. Moore asked if that is being farmed. Mrs. Trudeau stated that it is.

Mr. Goleman asked how long this property has been farmland. Mr. Scott stated that it has been farmland as long as they can remember. It has been a number of years, and that character is intended to continue.

Mr. Smith asked the professional staff to explain the difference between the length of a use variance versus a conditional permitted use. Ms. Sims explained that as long as the microbrewery stays in operation, the use variance will continue. Once they cease operation for a period of at least two years, the use variance specifically for the microbrewery will expire. If it were a conditional permitted use, it would run with the land forever. That is not what they are approving in this case.

Mr. Smith asked if the spreading that occurs during the change to organic farming continues on an annual basis, or if it is a short term change over to organic farming. Mr. Scott explained that it is a once a year application, and then it is done through a person they call Dr. Crop. His name is William Becker, and he will test the soil every year for whatever its needs are. They have to build up the sandy soil with some organic material so that it will grow properly. The soil there now is not great for growing anything in these areas. The sandy areas can be redeveloped. The idea is to restore the land, so there will be less they have to add to it every year. You cannot do the application more than once a year.

Mr. Smith asked if it would be more intense at first while they are preparing the ground, and if the need for trucks would decrease. Mrs. Trudeau concurred that it would be that way at first.

Mrs. Fulgenzi asked how many employees they would have. Mrs. Trudeau stated there would be one to two employees. Mrs. Fulgenzi asked if they see this as a hobby or a business that can be established with only one or two employees. Mrs. Trudeau explained that they see this as a very small supplemental business.

Mr. Schweska asked if they are planning to offer wine tasting events. Mr. Scott explained that they have no plans to offer wine tasting at the brewery itself. The tastings will go on at a public place like Maldaner's that has insurance. The brewery is not carrying dram shop insurance. They only have a wholesale brewery license.

Chairman VanMeter asked what the connection is between the manure spreading and the brewery. Mr. Scott explained that they have been trying to show there is no connection. Some people have been trying to make the case that if they weren't trying to grow organic hops; they would not be trying to convert the farm into an organic farming system. That is the furthest thing from the truth. The area currently near some of the neighbors that is being changed into organic farming is 55 acres.

The maximum they would ever need for hops would be 20 acres. There are also other areas down by the river that will need to be changed over for organic farming. It will not all be needed for a brewery. They are talking about having a five barrel to a seven barrel system, which will be a very small microbrewery.

Mr. Moore asked the professional staff if they would be having this discussion tonight if this were going to be a winery. Molly Sims stated that she would need to look at the County Code to check on this.

Steve Ritchie, residing at 3242 Central Point Road in Cantrall, addressed the Board. He explained that he and his wife own the farm that is immediately adjacent to the Trudeau farm. They are present on behalf of themselves and other owners in the area, including the Jeffrey's. They are opposed to the microbrewery because this neighborhood has always been an agricultural area, and they would like to keep it that way. He stated that his wife's family has lived on and owned property in the neighborhood for over 90 years. The Jeffrey family has lived, owned and raised crops in the neighborhood since 1823. Other neighbors have lived on their farm for over 50 years. The Trudeau's do not live on their farm, they only visit there and return to their home in Springfield. Nobody will suffer an economic loss if the zoning variance is not approved. He explained that they are not opposing job progress, but do oppose the unnecessary zoning variance. Setting this zoning precedent could damage the whole neighborhood. He asked that they maintain the unique agricultural neighborhood for them and future generations and vote against this.

Mr. Goleman stated that he lives on a farm and has trucks coming there all the time. The petitioner's property is a farm, but they just want to have a small microbrewery, and will have some truck traffic there. He asked how this would be any different than him having trucks coming to his farm to pick up grain or whatever they may need. Mr. Ritchie explained that they are not opposed to the organic farming, but there have been issues with trucks bringing in manure and straw and brown paper and plastic bags that are not composted. They get spread on the ground and blow onto other people's property.

Mrs. Musgrave asked the professional staff what other uses could be put there. Molly Sims explained that there could be fire station fuel sales, hotels, camps for railroad labor and railroad passenger stations, a welding shop, a weigh station, a warehouse, fertilizer sales and services, and also any uses that are permitted in the "B-1", "B-2", and "B-3" districts.

Mr. Mendenhall asked the professional staff what all could be listed under agricultural. Ms. Sims stated that there could be a grain hauler, gas regulator station, electric sub station, telephone distribution center, rest home, manufactured home, banquet hall, and a boarding school.

Ms. Sims answered Mr. Moore's question regarding having a winery on the property. She stated that the growing of the grapes would be considered an agricultural use; however, if it turned in to the production of the product as related to turning it into wine, that would be more than a home occupation based type thing, they would be in the same situation and the request for the zoning relief would be warranted.

Mr. Stumpf asked if the plastic bags that are being blown around are the same that are being hauled in from a waste hauler. Mr. Ritchie stated that he suspects people are putting their grocery sacks into a shredder which sends it up into the truck and it then gets spread. They have had numerous bags blow into their yard.

Mr. Moore asked if he would be okay with this if they get the composting issues under control. Mr. Ritchie stated that they do not have a problem with the composting, but it would be wonderful if they got the bag issue under control. Mr. Moore asked if this would help him get to an accommodation. Mr. Ritchie stated that he does not believe it would.

Mr. Scott gave his rebuttal. He explained that the petitioners had a meeting last week with the farmers out there, including the Jeffrey's who openly expressed they had no objection to the microbrewery. The bags were coming out from some of the landscape waste which was used to reinvigorate the ground. When the Trudeau's found out about that they made sure no more of that landscape waste would be used out there. They have no intention of converting this away from an agricultural use. They cannot live there all the time because Dr. Trudeau works in Springfield, but that does not mean they are only visiting when they are there. If they produce Abe's Ale to be sold in Sangamon County it would be a good tribute to the Land of Lincoln.

Mr. Stumpf pointed out that in Sangamon County, if you are going to bring in composting on your land it must be monitored by Sangamon County and you would need to have a site as a composting facility.

Mr. Goleman asked if they would be opposed if the Ritchie's decided to have a microbrewery on their property. Mrs. Trudeau stated they would not.

Mr. Moss asked the petitioners if they intend to seek another location if this is not granted. Mr. Scott stated that the crops and organic farming would continue, but an outlet for a microbrewery would be up for the future. They like this location because it is safe and they can stay there.

Mrs. Long asked if there would be any odor coming from the business. Mrs. Trudeau stated that there would not be any odor.

Mr. Fulgenzi asked if the one or two jobs for the brewery would count for the number of employees doing the organic farming. Mr. Scott explained that there would also be farmers there to do the farming.

There would be other jobs created because of the organic farming. There would also be jobs created for people delivering kegs into the local establishments.

Mr. Ritchie gave his rebuttal. He stated that water and waste issues have not been resolved yet, but they have decided not to concentrate on those issues, and want to concentrate on the nature of the area this is planned to go into. This is strictly agricultural, and making beer is not an agricultural use. They opposed this because the zoning does not need to happen, and they do not need a brewery down the street from them.

Mr. Moore asked if there is anything the petitioners could do to satisfy their concerns. Mr. Ritchie stated that they had a meeting on Saturday with the Trudeau's and discussed a way of letting this all go away, and that is to just put the brewery someplace else. We don't see that it is necessary to have it here when it can be placed anywhere in the County.

Mr. Mendenhall stated that it might be a stretch that a brewery is not agriculturally related, but it does consume a lot of agricultural products as would an ethanol plant. Mr. Ritchie asked if he would like an ethanol plant right next to his house. Mr. Mendenhall stated that he absolutely would.

A motion was made Mr. Moore, seconded by Mr. Smith and Mr. Schweska, to amend Resolution 6. Resolution 6 should be amended to read as follows: deny the rezoning but, in the alternative grant a use variance providing that microbrewery system does not exceed a seven barrel system. A voice vote was unanimous on the technical amendment.

Mr. Goleman explained that this is very difficult and he does not know how he will vote on this. There is good testimony on both sides and he does believe they should create as many jobs as they can in this environment. He also understands people do not want new businesses next door to them. He stated that he does believe this is an agricultural business because they are using agricultural products.

Chairman VanMeter asked for a roll call vote on the adoption of Resolution 6. Upon the roll call vote, there were 13 Yeas – 10 Nays – 2 Present. Those voting Yea were: Mr. Davsko, Ms. Dillman, Mr. Fraase, Mr. Fulgenzi, Mrs. Fulgenzi, Mr. Krell, Mr. Mendenhall, Mr. Moore, Mr. Preckwinkle, Mr. Schweska, Mr. Smith, Mr. Stumpf, and Mrs. Tjelmeland. Those voting present were: Mr. Bunch and Mr. Snell. Mr. Bunch explained that this is one of the hardest things he has had to do and he knows both sides.

MOTIONS CARRIED  
RESOLUTION ADOPTED

**RESOLUTION 7**

7. 2009-59 – Fred Weber, Inc., 3372 West Grand Ave., Springfield – Denying a Conditional Permitted Use. County Board Member – Sam Montalbano, District #13.

A motion was made by Mr. Montalbano, seconded by Mr. Moore, to place Resolution 7 on the floor. Chairman VanMeter asked the professional staff to give the procedural history of the case.

Molly Sims, professional staff, stated that the petitioner is requesting a conditional permitted use to allow for a temporary asphalt batch plant. The professional staff recommends approval of the requested CPU to allow for a temporary asphalt batch plant. The subject property is located among industrial uses and is located in a heavy industrial area. The proposed use has been in operation for over a year under the temporary use permit, and has been operated and designed so that public health, safety and welfare are protected. The Zoning Board of Appeals does not concur with the staff report and recommends denial. The existing temporary use permit is valid until February 2010 to serve the MacArthur Extension.

Gordon Gates, located at 1231 S. 8<sup>th</sup> in Springfield, addressed the Board on behalf of the petitioners. He stated that he is an attorney representing the petitioners. Fred Weber, Inc. is a well established company that has been around for more than 80 years, has more than 1,600 employees, and is a member of the Operators Local 965 and Laborers Local 477. This County needs more industrial jobs, and this is the chance where the County can vote in favor of new jobs. This area is proper for this use because it is zoned industrial. If you can't allow a batch plant here, where do you allow one? There was some confusion internally about what this temporary permit means. The person on the ground, who has now been removed from that position, believed it was purely dictated by time limits.

Mr. Goleman asked if there were any calls made to the County about the internal confusion. Mr. Gates explained that there were calls made. Once the problem was discovered and a letter was sent to Missouri, it took several days to weeks to make it back here to be sorted out. They recognized they messed this up and are new to this market. However, they are a huge organization with a tight quality control operation. This mistake will not happen again. He assured them that the person responsible for this mistake no longer works for Fred Weber, Inc, and this will not happen again. The petitioners hope to be able to do work for the County in the future. If this is passed, and

they are able to have a permanent establishment in the County, this would be their permanent address so all correspondence would be directly handled by them.

Mr. Moore asked the professional staff if the conditional permitted use would run with the land. Molly Sims stated that it would. Mr. Moore asked if they are prohibited from applying for a temporary permit even though they violated the terms of their current temporary permit.

Ms. Sims stated that they would not be prohibited from filling out a temporary use permit for asphalt batch plants; however, one permit can only cover one contract. They cannot bundle multiple contracts on one temporary use permit.

Mr. Moore asked Mr. Gates if they would leave town if they don't get what they want here tonight. Mr. Gates stated that they will try to do business in town, but will not do business with the request for the temporary permit every time they have a job, and will leave if they cannot find another location. There may not be a better spot than an industrial park, backed up against a railroad track and a few miles from an interstate exchange. The problem seems to be with Fred Weber and their violation. This petition was for a temporary conditional permitted use, and they do not want to be on this spot forever. They recognize that this location is perfect right now, but two years from now, they will move.

The case law clearly provides for a conditional permitted use that has a defined expiration date. The conditional permitted use does not have to run with the land in this case. They will be perfectly comfortable with an expiration date at the end of the paving season in 2011. They simply want to be in this marketplace to participate in all the blacktop that is going into the Calvary project and Legacy Point project, and the MacArthur and Lincolnshire projects.

Mrs. Fulgenzi stated that it seems since Weber does not own the land, it should have been the owner of the land who applied for the permit. It also seems Weber should have asked for a use variance that would have been gone when they left. Mr. Gates stated that the petition specifically filed for this case, said the proposed land use is for a temporary use asphalt batch plant. The problem arose not that they changed directions, but the direction was changed against them by the Zoning Board of Appeals when they were under the belief they could not grant a temporary use asphalt batch plant. They made it so they were asking for a permanent conditional permitted use, and then voted it down. The petitioners have been consistent because they have never asked for a permanent conditional permitted use for this particular location.

A motion was made by Mr. Stumpf, seconded by Mr. Mendenhall, to amend the petition to create a time limited conditional permitted use ending December 1, 2011.

Ms. Dillman asked if they could just apply for another temporary work order. Ms. Sims stated that they could apply for a temporary use permit based on the circumstances. They would have to go before Mr. Moore's committee for consideration.

Mr. Fulgenzi asked what the committee's authority would be to permit or deny this. He stated that he believes they need to find out where the authority lies with this.

A motion was made by Mr. Fulgenzi, seconded by Mr. Moss, to table Resolution 7. A voice vote carried.

MOTIONS CARRIED  
RESOLUTION TABLED

**RESOLUTIONS 11 – 17**

11. Resolution authorizing the Office of the State's Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor to act on behalf of the Sangamon County State's Attorney.

A motion was made by Mr. Goleman, seconded by Mr. Stephens, to place Resolution 11 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. Goleman, to consolidate Resolutions 11 – 17. Chairman VanMeter asked the Clerk to read Resolutions 12 – 17.

12. Resolution approving a variance of the proposed re-division of Lot 1 Clark Minor Subdivision.
13. Resolution approving a contract with Community Resources and Lincoln Land Community College.
14. Resolution approving a contract with Community Resources and First Institute.
15. Resolution providing for the designation of the County as a recovery zone for purposes of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.
16. Resolution allowing the County Board to petition the Circuit Court for a two-year stay of implementation for a drug court in Sangamon County.
17. Resolution repealing Chapter 2.90 and amending Sections 15.05.080, 15.06.040, 17.76.060, and 6.04.100 of the Sangamon County Code.

A voice vote was unanimous on the consolidation. A motion was made by Mr. Goleman, seconded by Mrs. Long, that the roll call vote, for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolutions 11 – 17, as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED

RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

**WAIVER OF TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD**

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Bunch, to waive the ten-day filing period. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED  
TEN-DAY FILING PERIOD WAIVED

**RESOLUTIONS 18 – 21**

18. Resolution amending Sections 5.30.010 of Chapter 5.30 of the Sangamon County Code regarding the Ordinance Violation Hearing Department.

A motion was made by Mr. Mendenhall, seconded by Mr. Good, to place Resolution 18 on the floor. A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mr. Goleman, to consolidate Resolutions 18 – 21. Chairman VanMeter asked the Clerk to read Resolutions 19 – 21.

19. Resolution approving a contract with Elert & Associates for a county-wide communications assessment.
20. Resolution authorizing the execution of a contract with ES&S.
21. Resolution repealing the IMRF Alternative Annuity Program for elected officers.

A voice vote was unanimous on the consolidation. A motion was made by Mr. Goleman, seconded by Mrs. Long, that the roll call vote for Resolution 1 stand as the roll call vote for Resolutions 18 – 21, as consolidated. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTIONS CARRIED  
RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

**OLD BUSINESS**

There was no old business.

**NEW BUSINESS**

A. Resolutions

There were no new resolutions.

B. Appointments

**Sangamon County Building Code Board of Appeals**

**Terms to expire November 2010**

Mike Keafer            David Burns  
Pam Deppe            John Haines  
Keith Moore  
Cathy Scaife  
Donny Anderson

**Sangamon County Board of Health**

**Terms to expire December 2010**

Andy Goleman

**Citizen's Advisory Board**

**Terms to expire November 2010**

Sarah Musgrave  
Sam Snell  
Jerry White  
Clyde Bunch

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Bunch, for approval of the appointments. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED  
APPOINTMENTS ADOPTED

**REPORTS OF COUNTY OFFICIALS, SPECIAL COMMITTEES, STANDING  
COMMITTEES**

There were no reports given.

**COMMITTEE REPORT ON CLAIMS**

A motion was made by Mr. Bunch, seconded by Mrs. Long, to place the Committee Report on Claims on file with the County Clerk. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED  
REPORT FILED

Chairman VanMeter announced there will be a press conference tomorrow morning at 8:30 a.m. in the Blue Room of the State House. He encouraged all members to attend.

**RECESS**

A motion was made by Mrs. Long, seconded by Mr. Bunch, to recess the meeting to January 12, 2010 at 7:00 p.m. A voice vote was unanimous.

MOTION CARRIED  
MEETING RECESSED